

---

# Principles and Practice of Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision

## Editors

**JOHN R. HECKENLIVELY, M.D.**  
Professor of Ophthalmology  
Jules Stein Eye Institute  
Los Angeles, California

**GEOFFREY B. ARDEN, M.D., PH.D.**  
Professor of Ophthalmology and  
Neurophysiology  
Institute of Ophthalmology  
Moorfields Eye Hospital  
London, England

## Associate Editors

**EMIKO ADACHI-USAMI, M.D.**  
Professor of Ophthalmology  
Chiba University School of Medicine  
Chiba, Japan

**G.F.A. HARDING, PH.D.**  
Professor of Neurosciences  
Department of Vision Sciences  
Aston University  
Birmingham, England

**SVEN ERIK NILSSON, M.D., PH.D.**  
Professor of Ophthalmology  
University of Linköping  
Linköping, Sweden

**RICHARD G. WELEBER, M.D.**  
Professor of Ophthalmology  
University of Oregon Health Science Center  
Portland, Oregon

 **Mosby  
Year Book**

St. Louis Baltimore Boston Chicago London Philadelphia Sydney Toronto



Dedicated to Publishing Excellence

Sponsoring Editor: David K. Marshall
Assistant Director, Manuscript Services: Frances M. Perveiler
Production Project Coordinator: Karen E. Halm
Proofroom Manager: Barbara Kelly

Copyright © 1991 by Mosby-Year Book, Inc.
A Year Book Medical Publishers imprint of Mosby-Year Book, Inc.

Mosby-Year Book, Inc.
11830 Westline Industrial Drive
St. Louis, MO 63146

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

Permission to photocopy or reproduce solely for internal or personal use is permitted for libraries or other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center, provided that the base fee of \$4.00 per chapter plus \$.10 per page is paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, 21 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collected works, or for resale.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 CL CL MV 95 94 93 92 91

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Principles and practice of visual electrophysiology / [edited by]
John R. Heckenlively, Geoffrey B. Arden.

p. cm.
Includes bibliographical references.
Includes index.

ISBN 0-8151-4290-0
1. Electroretinography. 2. Electrooculography. 3. Visual evoked response. I. Heckenlively, John R. II. Arden, Geoffrey B. (Geoffrey Bernard)

[DNLN: 1. Electrooculography. 2. Electrophysiology. 3. Electroretinography. 4. Evoked Potentials, Visual. 5. Vision

Disorders—physiopathology. WW 270 P957]
RE79.E4P75 1991 91-13378
617.7 1547—dc20 CIP
DNLN/DLC
for Library of Congress

## Proximal PIII

Chester Karwoski

The corneal-negative component of the electroretinogram (ERG) can be divided into three subcomponents: distal, slow, and proximal. Distal PIII is directly generated by the responses of rods and cones. It is also called the late receptor potential, and it is probably the major contributor to the ERG a-wave (see Chapter 7). Slow PIII is probably generated by Müller cells in response to the light-evoked decrease in  $[K^+]_o$  that is produced by the photoreceptors in the distal retina, and it contributes to the ERG c-wave (see Chapter 9). Slow PIII has sometimes been considered a "proximal PIII" since Müller cells are located proximal to the photoreceptors. However, I will reserve the term *proximal PIII* for certain other corneal-negative ERG components that are generated by cells located proximal to the photoreceptors. Proximal PIII has not been widely investigated, but its existence would have potentially great importance to electroretinography.

Proximal PIII was named and described by Murakami and Kaneko<sup>6</sup> in studies on the intraretinal ERG of frogs and turtles where it was observed in the proximal retina as a rapid response preceding the b-wave. Proximal PIII was distinguished from distal PIII in that proximal PIII had a different depth distribution, greater sensitivity to drugs, and longer latency. Proximal PIII is distinguished from slow PIII in that proximal PIII has a faster rise time than the b-wave<sup>6</sup> (1966) whereas the rise time of slow PIII is slower than the b-wave (see Chapter 9). Also, aspartate blocks proximal PIII but not slow PIII (fish,<sup>8</sup> rabbit<sup>5</sup>). Proximal PIII is very small, if present, in cats<sup>4</sup> and primates,<sup>7</sup> and additional study is needed to re-

solve whether it can be identified in these species as well as in humans.

The cellular origin of proximal PIII is unknown. One reason is that proximal PIII has not been recorded in isolation from other proximal field potentials; thus its waveform cannot be compared with intracellular response types. The  $a_2$  component of the vitreal a-wave is found in many vertebrates, and it may be considered a proximal PIII. Fatechand<sup>3</sup> has argued that  $a_2$  is generated by the same cells as the proximal negative response (PNR). Hanitzsch<sup>4, 5</sup> described a proximal PIII ("fast peak of PIII") in rabbit retina, and she suggests amacrine or horizontal cells as its origin. In fish, a proximal PIII is particularly well developed, and it may be associated with horizontal cell activity.<sup>2</sup> These authors argue that proximal PIII arises via spatial buffer currents in Müller cells in response to  $K^+$  movements across horizontal cell membranes, but the response is quite rapid and a *direct* contribution from horizontal cells should not be excluded. It is unclear whether a response generated by the former mechanism should be considered part of slow PIII or proximal PIII. Evidence for a contribution of horizontal cell  $K^+$  to slow PIII has also been reported by Dick.<sup>1</sup> In sum, proximal PIII might be generated by any neuron in the inner nuclear layer, or by Müller cells in response to  $K^+$  changes initiated by these cells.

### REFERENCES

1. Dick E: *Light- and Dark-Dependent Extracellular  $K^+$  Activity Modulations in the Vertebrate Retina: Origins of Elec-*

100 *Origin of Electroretinographic Components*

- troretinographic Components* (Ph.D. thesis). State University of New York, Buffalo, 1979.
2. Falk G, Shiells RA: Do horizontal cell responses contribute to the electroretinogram (ERG) in dogfish? *J Physiol* 1986; 381:113.
  3. Fatechand R: The  $a_2$  component of the vitreal a-wave and its intraretinal localization in the frog retina. *Vision Res* 1971; 11:489-500.
  4. Hanitzsch R: Fast intraretinal potentials of the isolated mammalian retina. *Vision Res* 1972; 12:781-791.
  5. Hanitzsch R: Intraretinal isolation of PIII subcomponents in the isolated rabbit retina after treatment with sodium aspartate. *Vision Res* 1973; 13:2093-2102.
  6. Murakami M, Kaneko A: Differentiation of PIII subcomponents in cold-blooded vertebrate retinas. *Vision Res* 1966; 6:627-636.
  7. Valeton JM, van Norren D: Fractional recording and component analysis of primate LERG: Separation of photoreceptor and other retinal potentials. *Vision Res* 1982; 22:381-391.
  8. Witkovsky P, Nelson J, Ripps H: Action spectra and adaptation properties of carp photoreceptors. *J Gen Physiol* 1973; 61:401-423.